

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ASHLEY PARHAM, JANE DOE, and
JOHN DOE,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SEAN COMBS, KRISTINA
KHORRAMN, SHANE PEARCE,
RUBEN VALDEZ, JOHN
PELLETIER, ODELL BECKHAM JR.,
DREW DESBORDES, JACQUELYN
WRIGHT, HELENA HARRIS-SCOTT,
MATIAS GONZALEZ, BRANDI
CUNNINGHAM, JANICE COMBS,
KEITH LUCKS, and JOHN AND
JANE DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:24-CV-07191-RFL

Assigned to Hon. Rita F. Lin

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION OF
DEFENDANT DREW DESBORDES
TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS
OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
SANCTIONS**

[PROPOSED] ORDER

After considering the administrative motion of Defendant Drew Desbordes pursuant to Local Rule 79-5 to file under seal certain exhibits submitted in connection with the motion for sanctions and the declaration of David Grossman (“Grossman Decl.”) filed in support of that motion, the Court orders as follows:

Portion of Document Sought to be Sealed	<u>Evidence Offered in Support of Sealing</u>	<u>Order</u>
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 1, excerpts of page 1 (address)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 3-4, 7-8, Ex. 1 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 1, excerpts of page 1 (account number)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 3-4, 7-8, Ex. 1 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 1, excerpts of page 2 (account number)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 3-4, 7-8, Ex. 1 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 1, excerpts of page 2 (third party names)	Grossman Decl., ¶ 4, Ex. 1 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 5, excerpts of page 2 (birth date)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 7-8, Ex. 5 (sealed	GRANTED

	version with proposed redactions).	
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 5, excerpts of page 2 (social security number)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 7-8, Ex. 5 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 5, excerpts of page 2 (address)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 7-8, Ex. 5 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 6, excerpts of page 2 (birth date)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 7-8, Ex. 6 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 6, excerpts of page 2 (social security number)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 7-8, Ex. 6 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 6, excerpts of page 2 (address)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 7-8, Ex. 6 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 10, excerpts of pages 1-4 (account number)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 7-8, Ex. 10 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED

Desbordes Decl. Ex. 10, excerpts of pages 1-4 (telephone numbers)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 3,4, 7-8, Ex. 10 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 11, excerpts of pages 1-4 (account number)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 3,4, 7-8, Ex. 10 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 11, excerpts of page 1 (address)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 3,4, 7-8, Ex. 10 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED
Desbordes Decl. Ex. 11, excerpts of pages 3-4 (telephone number)	Grossman Decl., ¶¶ 3,4, 7-8, Ex. 10 (sealed version with proposed redactions).	GRANTED

Civ. L.R. 79(c)(1) is satisfied. Compelling reasons support the sealing of the above records. The material sought to be sealed includes personally identifiable information, account numbers, and contact information for Mr. Desbordes and the contact information and names of third parties. Mr. Desbordes and the third parties have a privacy interest in the information which constitutes a compelling reason to file the exhibits under seal. *See Icon-IP Pty Ltd. v. Specialized Bicycle Components, Inc.*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 26519 at *3 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2015); *Berton v. Aetna, Inc.*, 2024 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35556, at *21 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 9, 2024). If sealing is denied, Mr. Desbordes and the third parties will be deprived of their privacy interests and exposed to increased risk of harm and identity theft. *See Nursing Home Pension Fund v. Oracle Corp.*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 84000, at *9-10 (N.D.

1 Cal. Oct. 31, 2007). Portions of the information sought to be sealed, including
2 residential addresses, are independently allowed to (or required to) be redacted
3 under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2 and L.R. 5.2-1. The redactions are
4 narrowly tailored to promote the identified privacy interests.

5
6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7
8 Dated: _____, 2025

9 Hon. Rita F. Lin
United States District Court Judge